

Mesoscopic approach to the slow dynamics of supercooled liquids and colloidal systems

A. Pérez-Madrid, D. Reguera, and J.M. Rubí

Departament de Física Fonamental, Facultat de Física, Universitat de Barcelona,
Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

Abstract. We propose a method to analyze the dynamics of systems exhibiting slow relaxation which is based on mesoscopic non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The method allows us to obtain kinetic equations of the Fokker-Planck type for the probability functional and their corresponding Langevin equations. Our results are compared with the ones obtained by other authors.

1. Introduction

The problem of the glass transition has attracted much attention in the past years, and has been subject of intense experimental, computational and theoretical investigations. Mode coupling theories and replica techniques have been able to capture some trends of the phenomenology of these systems. Although interesting theoretical results have been obtained by means of those theories, the understanding of the problem is far from being complete [1, 2].

Supercooled liquids and colloids near a glass transition are characterized by a extremely slow relaxation of the density variable. As the glass transition is essentially a dynamic transition, it becomes important to provide a dynamical description of the evolution of the density variable. In addition, the presence of slow dynamics in such systems emphasizes the importance of the activated processes, since they are the main mechanism able to make the system evolve and escape from its trapping in the metastable states. A complete description of these systems should then take into account such processes.

In this paper we present a simple mesoscopic formalism able to derive kinetic equations of the Fokker-Planck type for the probability functional of the density fields in supercooled liquids and dense colloidal systems. This approach is based on the hypothesis of local equilibrium in the phase space of the system, which allows us to describe the processes leading to variations in the state of these systems by means of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The extension of that theory to the mesoscopic level of description has been referred to as mesoscopic non-equilibrium thermodynamics [3]-[9].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we derive the kinetic equation for the probability distribution functional of the momentum density and mass density fields. By adiabatic elimination of the momentum density we obtain the kinetic equation describing the slow dynamics, giving the configurational changes in the system. In Section 3, we introduce fluctuations of the distribution functionals obtaining the corresponding Langevin equation. Finally, in Section 4, we conclude summarizing our main results.

2. Kinetic equations

We consider the system, either a pure liquid or a colloidal suspension, as a continuum divided in tiny cells of volume v_0 . In each cell we define a mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and a momentum density $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho\mathbf{v}$, with $\mathbf{r}$ being the position vector of a cell and $\mathbf{v}$ the velocity field. For simplicity, we will introduce the compact notation $\{\underline{\Gamma}\} \equiv \{\rho, \mathbf{g}\}$.

Let us consider $\hat{P}(\{\underline{\Gamma}\}, t)$ as the distribution functional in phase space, normalized according to the relation

$$\int \hat{P}(\{\underline{\Gamma}\}, t) \delta \underline{\Gamma} = N, \quad (1)$$

where N is the number of possible states in phase space. Related to this distribution functional we introduce the phase space entropy $S(\hat{P}(\{\underline{\Gamma}\}, t))$, which satisfies the Gibbs equation introduced by mesoscopic non-equilibrium thermodynamics [10]

$$\Delta S = -\frac{1}{T} \int \mu(\{\underline{\Gamma}\}, t) \Delta \hat{P}(\{\underline{\Gamma}\}, t) \delta \underline{\Gamma}. \quad (2)$$

Here T is the temperature, and μ represents a chemical potential defined in phase space satisfying

$$\mu = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \hat{P}}. \quad (3)$$

Its expression can be obtained with the help of the Gibbs entropy postulate [10]

$$S = -k \int \hat{P} \ln \frac{\hat{P}}{\hat{P}^{l.eq.}} \delta \underline{\Gamma} + S^{l.eq.}, \quad (4)$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant. In this expression

$$\hat{P}^{l.eq.} = \exp \{ -\beta [\mu_o + H_K \{\underline{\Gamma}\} + H_U \{\rho\}] \} \quad (5)$$

is the distribution functional at local equilibrium, with $\beta = 1/kT$, and μ_o the chemical potential at local equilibrium. Moreover, we have defined the kinetic energy functional

$$H_K \{\rho, \mathbf{g}\} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r})^2}{\rho(\mathbf{r})} d\mathbf{r}, \quad (6)$$

and the potential energy functional

$$H_U \{\rho\} = \int f \{\rho\} d\mathbf{r} \quad (7)$$

where $f \{\rho\}$ is the free energy density functional.

By taking variations in eq. (4) we can obtain

$$\Delta S = -k \int \Delta \hat{P} \ln \frac{\hat{P}}{\hat{P}^{l.eq.}} \delta \underline{\Gamma} + \Delta S^{l.eq.}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\Delta S^{l.eq.} = -\frac{1}{T} \mu_o \Delta N = -\frac{1}{T} \int \mu_o \Delta \hat{P} \delta \underline{\Gamma}. \quad (9)$$

Thus, after comparison of eqs. (2) and (8) we can infer the expression of the chemical potential

$$\mu = \mu_o + kT \ln \frac{\hat{P}}{\hat{P}^{l.eq.}}. \quad (10)$$

We now assume that the distribution functional evolves according to the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial t} + \int \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \dot{\rho} \hat{P} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{g}} \hat{P} \right) d\mathbf{r} = - \int \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_g d\mathbf{r}, \quad (11)$$

where the dot over the field variables indicates time derivative and $\mathbf{J}_g$ is a diffusive current in momentum space.

>From eqs. (2) and (11) we can obtain the rate of the entropy variation that is written as

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial_e S}{\partial t} + \Sigma. \quad (12)$$

In this expression, we can identify the first term on the right hand side as the rate at which entropy is supplied to the system by its surroundings through the external constrains, given by

$$\frac{\partial_e S}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{T} \int \int \mu \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \dot{\rho} \hat{P} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{g}} \hat{P} \right) d\mathbf{r} \delta \underline{\Gamma}. \quad (13)$$

The second contribution corresponds to the entropy produced inside the system due to the irreversible processes, whose value is

$$\Sigma = -\frac{1}{T} \int \int \mathbf{J}_g \cdot \frac{\delta \mu}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \delta \underline{\Gamma} d\mathbf{r}, \quad (14)$$

where a partial integration has been performed. This entropy production has the usual form of flux-force pairs from which we can infer the phenomenological relation

$$\mathbf{J}_g = -\frac{1}{T} \int \underline{L}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \cdot \frac{\delta \mu}{\delta \mathbf{g}} d\mathbf{r}', \quad (15)$$

where the Onsager coefficients $\underline{L}$ satisfy the Onsager relations $\underline{L}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \underline{L}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r})^\dagger$, in which † stands for the Hermitian conjugated. By computing the functional derivative and assuming locality in the coordinates, i.e. $\underline{L}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \underline{L}(\mathbf{r}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$, one obtains

$$\mathbf{J}_g = -\underline{H}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \left(\beta^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} + \frac{\delta H_K}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \right) \hat{P}, \quad (16)$$

where $\underline{H}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \underline{L}(\mathbf{r})/T \hat{P}$ can be interpreted as a mobility tensor. Its expression follows from the Navier-Stokes equation [11]

$$\underline{H}(\mathbf{r}) = -\eta \left(\frac{1}{3} \nabla \nabla + \underline{1} \nabla^2 \right) - \xi \nabla \nabla \quad (17)$$

with η and ξ being the shear and bulk viscosities, respectively, and $\underline{1}$ the unit tensor.

By introducing the current we have obtained in eq. (16) into the continuity equation (11) and using the expressions of $\dot{\rho}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{g}}$ given by the reversible part of the Navier-Stokes equation [11], this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial t} = \int \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \left[\rho \nabla \frac{\delta H_U}{\delta \rho} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{g}}{\rho} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \underline{H}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \left[\beta^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} + \frac{\delta H_K}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \right] \right\} \hat{P} d\mathbf{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which constitutes the functional Fokker-Planck equation for the probability distribution functional $\hat{P}(\{\underline{\Gamma}\}, t)$.

In the diffusive regime, when equilibration in momentum has occurred and only configurational changes are possible, this equation simplifies considerably. To achieve the corresponding equation we define the reduced distribution functional

$$P(\{\rho\}, t) \equiv \int \hat{P} \delta \mathbf{g} \quad (19)$$

and the current

$$\mathbf{J}_\rho \equiv \int \mathbf{g} \hat{P} \delta \mathbf{g}. \quad (20)$$

By applying the time derivative to eq. (19) and using eq. (18) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \int \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_\rho d\mathbf{r}. \quad (21)$$

The probability current defined through eq. (20) evolves according to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}_\rho}{\partial t} &= \int \mathbf{g} \frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial t} \delta \mathbf{g} \\ &= \int \int \frac{\delta \hat{P}}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}')} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}') \delta \mathbf{g} d\mathbf{r} - \int \hat{P} \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{g}}{\rho} \delta \mathbf{g} - \rho P \nabla \frac{\delta H_U}{\delta \rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \underline{H} \cdot \mathbf{J}_\rho, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we have used eq. (18), and partial integration have been performed taking into account that $\delta \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r})/\delta \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}') = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. We now assume that $\frac{1}{\rho} \underline{H} \cdot \mathbf{J}_\rho \simeq \tau^{-1} \mathbf{J}_\rho$, where τ is the characteristic time scale of the inertial regime. In the diffusive regime when $\tau \ll t$, assuming equilibration in momentum we can write [12]

$$\hat{P} = \Phi \{\underline{\Gamma}\} P(\{\rho\}, t), \quad (23)$$

where

$$\Phi = Z \{\rho\}^{-1} \exp \left(-\beta/2 \int \frac{\Delta \mathbf{g}^2}{\rho} d\mathbf{r} \right) \quad (24)$$

is a local Maxwellian with $\Delta \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g} - \langle \mathbf{g} \rangle_\rho$, being $\langle \mathbf{g} \rangle_\rho = \frac{1}{P} \int \mathbf{g} \hat{P} \delta \mathbf{g}$ the conditional average, and

$$Z \{ \rho \} = Z_o \exp \left\{ \frac{3}{2v_o} \int \ln \left[\frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{\rho_o} \right] d\mathbf{r} \right\}, \quad (25)$$

with ρ_o being the uniform mass density of the system. Thus, by substituting eq. (23) in eq. (22), performing momentum integration and eliminating inertial terms we achieve [7]

$$\mathbf{J}_\rho = -\tau \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \left(kT \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} + \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} \right) P \quad (26)$$

with

$$H \{ \rho \} = H_U - kT \ln Z = H_U - \frac{3kT}{2v_o} \int \ln \left[\frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{\rho_o} \right] d\mathbf{r} - kT \ln Z_o, \quad (27)$$

where we have used the result $\int \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}') \Phi \delta \mathbf{g} = kT \underline{1} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. Finally, by substitution of the current $\mathbf{J}_\rho$, given in eq. (26) into eq. (21) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -\tau kT \int \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} [\nabla \cdot \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla] \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} + \beta \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right\} P d\mathbf{r}, \quad (28)$$

which constitutes the functional Fokker-Planck equation in the diffusive regime, in which τkT plays the role of a diffusion coefficient. The stationary solution is the Boltzmann distribution functional $P_{st} \sim \exp(-\beta H)$ [13], thus satisfying detailed balance. This equation has been previously obtained in [12] by means of projection operator techniques. It should be mentioned, as already been pointed out [12], that the presence of a diffusive term in this equation incorporates the existence of hopping or activated processes.

3. Fluctuating kinetic equations

Our purpose in this section is to study the dynamics of the fluctuations in the distribution functional around a given reference distribution. To this purpose, we will consider that the distribution solution of eq. (18) corresponds to the average value over an initial distribution in phase space. Consequently, the actual value of the distribution functional will differ from the solution of eq. (18) in the presence of fluctuations.

In our derivation of the Fokker-Planck equation, we will incorporate such fluctuations by applying fluctuating hydrodynamics in phase space [5]. Thus, we will split up the current $\mathbf{J}_g$ into systematic and random contributions

$$\mathbf{J}_g = \mathbf{J}_g^S + \mathbf{J}_g^R. \quad (29)$$

The former is given precisely by the linear law (15) whereas the latter defines a Gaussian white noise stochastic process of zero mean and fluctuation-dissipation theorem given by

$$\langle \mathbf{J}_g^R(\{\underline{\Gamma}\}, \mathbf{r}, t) \mathbf{J}_g^R(\{\underline{\Gamma}'\}, \mathbf{r}', t') \rangle_{\hat{P}_o} = 2k \underline{L} \delta(\{\underline{\Gamma}\} - \{\underline{\Gamma}'\}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'), \quad (30)$$

where $\underline{L}$ has been defined in eq. (15), and $\hat{P}_o$ is an initial probability distribution.

When employing the decomposition (29) in the continuity equation (11), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \hat{P}^*}{\partial t} = - \int \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \dot{\rho} \hat{P}^* + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{g}} \hat{P}^* + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_g^S + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_g^R \right) d\mathbf{r}, \quad (31)$$

where $\hat{P}^*$ is the fluctuating probability distribution. This equation can also be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{P}^*}{\partial t} = & \int \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \left[\rho \nabla \frac{\delta H_U}{\delta \rho} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{g}}{\rho} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \underline{H}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \left[\beta^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} + \frac{\delta H_K}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \right] \right\} \hat{P}^* d\mathbf{r} + \int \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{g}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_g^R d\mathbf{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which corresponds to the fluctuating functional Fokker-Planck equation.

Using the same adiabatic elimination procedure performed in the previous section we can obtain the fluctuating functional Fokker-Planck equation in the diffusive regime, yielding

$$\frac{\partial P^*}{\partial t} = -\tau kT \int \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} [\nabla \cdot \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla] \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} + \beta \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right\} P^* d\mathbf{r} + \tau \int \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho} \nabla \cdot \int \mathbf{J}_g^R \delta \mathbf{g} d\mathbf{r}. \quad (33)$$

>From this equation it is possible to get the Langevin equation for the first moment of the distribution functional, defined through

$$\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) P^*(\{\rho\}, t) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}). \quad (34)$$

By multiplying eq. (33) by $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and integrating one obtains

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \tau kT \int [\nabla \cdot \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla] \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} + \beta \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right\} P^* \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) + \eta(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (35)$$

where $\eta(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\tau \nabla \cdot \int \mathbf{J}_g^R \delta \rho \delta \mathbf{g}$ is the noise term, whose correlation, after eq. (30) is used, is given by

$$\langle \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) \eta(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2kT\tau \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'). \quad (36)$$

The first term inside the bracket in eq. (35) is the noise-induced drift [14], which arises from the nonlinear character of the dynamics which is due to the density dependence of the kinetic coefficient.

Assuming $P^* = \delta(\{\rho\} - \{\bar{\rho}\})$, eq. (35) yields

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \tau \nabla \cdot \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla \frac{\delta H(\{\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t)\})}{\delta \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t)} + \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (37)$$

which coincides with the stochastic equation in terms of the number density field $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in Refs. [13], [15]-[19]. The average over the noise of eq. (37) yields the mean field equation proposed in Ref. [20]

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a method to obtain Fokker-Planck equations for the probability distribution functional of the density fields for a liquid in the framework of mesoscopic non-equilibrium thermodynamics [7]. Keeping the essentials of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, and extending its range of validity to the mesoscopic domain we have proposed a Gibbs equation where the entropy depends on the probability distribution functional in phase space. The peculiar dynamics of glassy systems enables one to assume the existence of two dynamical regimes, one related to the fast processes or inertial regime, the other related to the slow relaxation processes or diffusive regime. After, adiabatic elimination of the fast degrees of freedom [7], we have obtained the Fokker-Planck equation for the probability distribution functional of the fluid mass density, which describes the slow dynamics of the system. The previous description is independent of the underlying microscopic model. The important requirement is the existence of well separated time and length scales in the system, which sustain the validity of the local equilibrium hypothesis in phase space. Supercooled liquids and dense colloidal suspensions are known to satisfy this requirement.

At mesoscopic level we have considered the hydrodynamic fields of the liquid as stochastic variables whose deterministic dynamic is governed by the Navier-Stokes equations. From these equations we can identify the phenomenological coefficients in the kinetic equations. We have also analyzed fluctuations of the distribution functional by adding a stochastic contribution to the current in phase space which satisfies a fluctuation-dissipation theorem. From this procedure we obtain a Langevin equation for the mass density.

One of the advantages of the formalism we have proposed in this paper is that the dynamic description in terms of a probability functional provides a complete information of the slow dynamics of the system. In addition, its validity is not restricted to the particular situations addressed in this paper. The same kind of description is perfectly applicable to a wide variety of different situations, among which we can mention the cases of granular materials [21] or nucleation and phase transitions in inhomogeneous media [23, 22].

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially supported by DGICYT of the Spanish Government under grant PB98-1258. D. Reguera wishes to thank Generalitat de Catalunya for financial support.

References

- [1] Rubí J M and Pérez-Vicente C (Eds.) 1997 *Complex Behaviour of Glassy Systems*, Proc. XIV Sitges Conference (Springer, Berlin)
- [2] Göetze G and Sjögren L 1992 Rep. Prog. Phys. **55** 241

- [3] Prigogine I and Mazur P 1951 *Physica* **17** 661
- [4] Pérez-Madrid A, Rubí J M and Mazur P 1994 *Physica A* **212** 231
- [5] Pagonabarraga I, Pérez-Madrid A and Rubí J M 1997 *Physica A* **237** 205
- [6] Reguera D, Rubí J M and Pérez-Madrid A 1998 *Physica A* **259** 10
- [7] Rubí J M and Pérez-Madrid A *Physica A* 1999 **264** 492
- [8] Mazur P 1999 *Physica A* **274** 491
- [9] Reguera D and Rubí J M, accepted for publication in *Phys. Rev. E*.
- [10] de Groot S R and Mazur P 1984 *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics* (Dover, New York)
- [11] Das S P and Mazenko G F 1986 *Phys. Rev. A* **34** 2265
- [12] Kawasaki K 1994 *Physica A* **208** 35
- [13] Frusawa H and Hayakawa R 2000 *J. Phys. A* **33** L155
- [14] van Kampen N G 1992 *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (North-Holland, Amsterdam)
- [15] Dean D S 1996 *J. Phys. A:Math. Gen.* **29** L613
- [16] Kawasaki K 1998 *J. Stat. Phys.* **93** 527
- [17] Munakata T 1989 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **58** 2434
- [18] Kirkpatrick T R and Thirumalai D 1989 *J. Phys. A:Math. Gen.* **22** L149
- [19] Tanaka H 1999 *J. Chem. Phys.* **111** 3163
- [20] Marconi U M B and Tarazona P 2000 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter A* **12** 413
- [21] Morgado W A M and Oppenheim I 1997 *Physica A* **246** 547; 1998 *Physica A* **252** 308
- [22] Bray A J 1994 *Adv. Phys.* **43** 357
- [23] Oxtoby D W 1992 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **4** 7651